



1639
JW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Kojiro TAKAHASHI, et al.

Appln. No. 10/030,619

Date Filed: January 21, 2002

For: METHODS FOR CONSTRUCTING DNA LIBRARY AND A SUPPORT CARRYING ...

Art Unit: 1639

Examiner: T. Wessendorf

Washington, D.C.

Atty.'s Docket: TAKAHASHI=30

Date: July 19, 2005

Confirmation No. 8322

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a [XX] REPLY: SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION AND REMARKS in the above-identified application.

[] Small Entity Status: Applicant(s) claim small entity status. See 37 C.F.R. §1.27.

[XX] No additional fee is required.

[] The fee has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)		(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	*	MINUS	** 20	0	x 25	\$		x 50	\$
INDEP.	*	MINUS	*** 3	0	x 100	\$		x 200	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ 180	\$		+ 360	\$
					ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL		\$	OR	TOTAL
									\$

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

[XX] Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

[] It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity

Response Filed Within

[] First - \$ 60.00
[] Second - \$ 225.00
[] Third - \$ 510.00
[] Fourth - \$ 795.00

Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity

Response Filed Within

[] First - \$ 120.00
[] Second - \$ 450.00
[] Third - \$ 1020.00
[] Fourth - \$ 1590.00

Month After Time Period Set

[] Less fees (\$) already paid for month(s) extension of time on .

[] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$.

[] Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$.

[] A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no.).

[XX] The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

By: 
Anne M. Kornbau
Registration No. 25,884



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: TAKAHASHI30

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 8322
)	
Kojiro TAKAHASHI et al)	Art Unit: 1623
)	
Appln. No.: 10/030,619)	Examiner: T. D. WESENDORF
)	
Filed: October 21, 2002)	Washington, D.C
)	
For: METHODS FOR CONSTRUCTING...)		July 19, 2005

REPLY: SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION AND REMARKS

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building, Mail Stop Amendment
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Replying to the Office communication mailed September 8, 2004, and a telephone request from Examiner Wessendorf of July 14, 2005, please enter the attached substitute specification. The substitute specification is in clean form. Since a marked-up substitute specification was filed October 15, 2004, it is believed that it is not necessary to file another marked-up copy.

In view of the above, it is believed that the claims are in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Appln. No. 10/030,619
Amd. dated July 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Anne M. Kornbau

Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\T\TOYO\takahashi30\pto\ResponseSubSpec 19 Jul 05.doc